UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,811	09/05/2003	Carey E. Garibay	BEAS-01454US2	7109
23910 7590 12/27/2007 FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET			EXAMINER	
			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCIS	OOR NCISCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/655,811	GARIBAY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Calvin L. Hewitt II	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	September 2007.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 25 U.S.C. S	: 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 55 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(d) 01 (l).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	· ·	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12-15-03,3-11-05,5-26-06, 1-17-07, 9-28-07, 11-29-07.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,811

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-30 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 21 is broad enough to read on non-functional descriptive material such as pseudo-code or a computer program or listing stored on a portable memory such as flash memory (MPEP 2106.01).

Claims 22-30 are also rejected as each depends from claim 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/655,811 Art Unit: 3621

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the access rules" in line 4. While, claim 7 recites the limitation "the production of reports" in line 2 and claim 10 recites "the software" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claims 11, 17, 20, 21, 27 and 30 are also rejected as each recites language similar to either claim 7 or 10.

Claims 2-10, 12-20 and 22-30 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 11 and 21, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 10-17, 20-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Narin et al., U.S. PG Pub 2004/0158709.

As per claims 1-7, 10-17, 20-27 and 30, Narin et al. teach a method and apparatus comprising:

- maintaining a digital records of (i.e. belonging or relating to) software licenses for multiple groups that indicate rights associated with the licenses (paragraphs 0136 and 148)
- accessing a group administration application (paragraphs 119, 123 and 124)
- using the access rules (paragraphs 0048, 0049, 0058-0067, 0071, and 0072)
- storing configuration information in the digital records (paragraph 0136)

As per claims 2-7, 12-17 and 22-27, language that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation (MPEP 2106 II C; *Intel Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 20 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir.1991); *In re Johnston*, 77 USPQ2d 1788 (CA FC 2006)). Therefore, recitations directed to how Applicant's system may or may or not perform will not distinguish the claimed method and/or apparatus from the anticipating prior art of Narin et al..

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/655,811 Art Unit: 3621

9.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, 18, 19, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narin et al., U.S. PG Pub 2004/0158709.

As per claims 8, 9, 28 and 29, it has been held that to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structural limitations therein must affect the method in a manipulative sense and not to amount to the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure (*Ex parte Pfeiffer*, 135 USPQ 31 (BdPatApp&Int 1961)). Applicant's claim 8, for example, is directed to "software" structure or how software is arranged in memory. However, the group administration application being part of a web based application does not affect the claimed method hence it is not entitled to patentable weight. Claims 9, 28 and 29 are also rejected as each recites similar language.

As per claims 18 and 19, claim 11 from which these claims depend is directed to an apparatus. Claims 18 and 19, however, are directed to software (i.e. software applications). It has been held that software stored in computer memory that is not functionally related to the memory will not distinguish the claims from the prior art (*In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983), *In re Ngai*, 70 USPQ2d (Fed. Cir. 2004), *In re Lowry*, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994); MPEP 2106.01 II). Therefore, as the web and administration application

are not functionally related to a computer the prior art of Narin et al. is sufficient in terms of art (paragraphs 119, 123 and 124).

Page 6

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer, can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

December 21, 2007